

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,588 09/18/2003		03	Miles Justin Russell	C2C8980US01 9164	
27723 7	590 02	/23/2005	EXAMINER		INER
KEVIN FARRELL PIERCE ATWOOD ONE NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801				LAVILLA, MICHAEL E	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1775	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers		10/666,588	RUSSELL, MILES JUSTIN			
On.	fice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MAN INO DATE A Mission Control	Michael La Villa	1775			
Period for Repl	MAILING DATE of this communication app Y	lears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address			
THE MAILIN - Extensions of the after SIX (6) M - If the period form of the service of the serv	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. It ime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute lived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Respo	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 De</u>	<u>ecember 2004</u> .				
2a)∏ This a	ction is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed	I in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of	Claims					
4)⊠ Claim	(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of	the above claim(s) 14-17 is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	,			
5)☐ Claim	(s) is/are allowed.					
<u> </u>	(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
·	(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim	(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Pa	pers					
9)∐ The sp	ecification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The dra	awing(s) filed on <u>18 Se<i>ptember 2003</i></u> is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	cted to by the Examiner.			
Applica	ant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
`	ement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•				
11)∐J The oa	th or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknow	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
· <u> </u>	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	1				
	Certified copies of the priority document					
	Certified copies of the priority document		· ·			
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
" See the	attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	90 .			
Attachment(=)	·					
Attachment(s)	erences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
	ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information D	isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Mail Date 20030918.	——————————————————————————————————————				
S. Patent and Trademark C		-,				

Application/Control Number: 10/666,588

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, Claims 1-13, in the reply filed on 3 December 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- Claims 14-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 3 December 2004.
- 3. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 5. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - I. Regarding Claims 1 and 8, it is unclear what is meant by the phrase "such as". It is unclear whether the claimed intended use is limited to making PCBs or not.
 - II. Regarding Claim 11, it is unclear what is meant by the phrase "define lateral dimensions that are substantially equal." It is unclear what is to

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/666,588 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

be equal to what. Does this phrase mean that the band of adhesive is disposed on the periphery of the first film layer?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- 8. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 11. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Weekes et al. USPA 2004/0253473. Weekes et al. teaches a laminate comprising aluminum non-functional layer overlaid on both sides with copper conductive layers of greater lateral scope on all sides and joined together at the periphery. See Weekes (Figure 5; and paragraphs 32-37; and 46-55). Weekes et al. teaches that the layers may be joined by adhesive. Weekes teaches that the adhesive applied to the copper layers serves to join the copper and aluminum and define a sealed layer.
- 12. Claims 1-3, 5-8, and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnston USP 5,725,937. Johnston teaches a laminate comprising a copper conductive and aluminum non-functional layers having

Application/Control Number: 10/666,588 Page 4

Art Unit: 1775

differing lateral scope, wherein the layers are joined by adhesive. See Johnston (Figure 5; col. 6, line 42 through col. 7, line 27).

13. Claims 1, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Steiner et al. USPA 2002/0061415. Steiner et al. teaches forming a laminate with a copper conductive layer and polymer layer having different lateral scope. See Steiner (Figures 2, 4, and 6; and paragraphs 42, 43, 45, 47, 49, 51, and 52). In Figures 2, 4, and 6, the polymer layer and copper containing laminate are of differing lateral scope in the region of 62 in Figures 2 and 4 and 242 in Figure 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 16. Claims 2, 3, and 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weekes et al. USPA 2004/0253473. Weekes et al. teaches a laminate

Application/Control Number: 10/666,588

Art Unit: 1775

comprising aluminum non-functional layer overlaid on both sides with copper conductive layers of greater lateral scope on all sides and joined together at the periphery. See Weekes (Figure 5; and paragraphs 32-37; and 46-55). Weekes et al. teaches that the layers may be joined by adhesive. Weekes teaches that the joining mechanism applied to the copper layers serves to join the copper and aluminum and define a sealed layer. Weekes may not exemplify using adhesive to join the layers, but teaches that adhesives are an effective joining technique. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to fabricate the laminate using adhesive, as Weekes teaches that effective laminates may be formed with adhesive.

Page 5

Conclusion

- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael La Villa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Thursday, and alternating Fridays.
- 18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,588 Page 6

Art Unit: 1775

19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael La Villa 17 February 2005

Meli